

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT TACOMA

10 ANDREW T. HINCKLEY,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 THURSTON COUNTY, and GARY S.
14 EDWARDS, Sheriff, Thurston County, and
15 YAKIMA COUNTY, and KEN IRWIN,
16 Sheriff, Yakima County,

17 Defendants.

18 Case No. C05-5458RJB

19 ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE
20 TO U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR
21 THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
22 WASHINGTON

23 This matter comes before the Court *sue sponte* after the Defendants Thurston County and Gary S.
24 Edward's Motion for Summary Judgment was granted. Dkt. 26.

25 This Court determined that due to the insufficient causal link between any policy, practice, or
26 custom of Thurston County or Sheriff Edwards and Plaintiff's injuries at the hands of inmates in the Yakima
27 County jail, Plaintiff's § 1983 claim against those Defendants was without merit. *Id.* Additionally, Plaintiff
28 conceded that his claims against Thurston County and Sheriff Edwards related to his medical care were
 without merit and failed to adequately support his Washington State constitutional claim against Thurston
 County and Sheriff Edwards. *Id.* Plaintiff's claims against Thurston County and Sheriff Edward were
 dismissed. *Id.*

29 In light of the resolution of Thurston County and Gary S. Edward's Motion for Summary Judgment,
30 this Court raised the question of whether venue should be changed to the Eastern District of Washington at
31 Yakima pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404 and 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). Accordingly, the parties were ordered "to
32 show cause if any they have, in writing, on or before June 30, 2006, why the case should not be transferred."

1 No response was filed. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a), “[f]or the convenience of the parties and witnesses, in
2 the interest of justice, a district court may transfer any civil action to any other district or division where it
3 might have been brought.” 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) provides that,

4 A civil action wherein jurisdiction is not founded solely on diversity of citizenship may,
5 except as otherwise provided by law, be brought only in (1) a judicial district where any
6 defendant resides, if all defendants reside in the same State, (2) a judicial district in which a
7 substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial
part of property that is the subject of the action is situated, or (3) a judicial district in which
any defendant may be found, if there is no district in which the action may otherwise be
brought.

8 Because the remaining Defendants are Yakima County and Ken Irwin, Sheriff of Yakima County, “for the
9 convenience of the parties and witnesses, and in the interest of justice,” and in light of the venue
10 requirements of 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), this matter should be transferred to the Eastern District of
11 Washington.

12 Therefore, it is hereby

13 **ORDERED** that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404 and 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), this case is
14 **TRANSFERRED** to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Washington.

15 The Clerk is directed to send uncertified copies of this Order to all counsel of record and to any
16 party appearing *pro se* at said party’s last known address.

17 DATED this 14th day of July, 2006.

18
19 
20 Robert J. Bryan
21 United States District Judge
22
23
24
25
26
27
28